Message

From: Rowsey, Kevin [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=FDD13C32A3E54246AEB75BD0D9018EDA-ROWSEY, KEV]

Sent: 3/19/2019 8:36:39 PM

To: Mavi, Mayek [mavi.mayek@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: GenX Results

Attachments: WWK ResidentDrinkingWaterResults 022719.xlsx; WWO ResidentDrinkingWaterResults 022719.xlsx

Hi Mike, Chemours sent us updated residential sampling results for West Virginia and Ohio. I'm not sure if I mentioned this before, but the data that I sent you a couple of weeks ago were missing some sampling results. Chemours told us that they needed to give us updated results but we just got them today. Apparently, when they first pulled their query from their database, they forgot to pull data from another database. This should be all the residential samples that they have from 2001-present. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Kevin Rowsey

Ground Water & Enforcement Branch Water Protection Division U.S. EPA Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Phone: 215.814.5463

From: Rowsey, Kevin

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2019 1:09 PM **To:** Mavi, Mayek <mavi.mayek@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: GenX Results

Here are results they reported to us from the first/second rounds of sampling. You may just have to add the third (November) round.

Kevin Rowsey

Ground Water & Enforcement Branch Water Protection Division U.S. EPA Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Phone: 215.814.5463

From: Mavi, Mayek

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2019 12:59 PM **To:** Rowsey, Kevin rowsey.kevin@epa.gov

Subject: RE: GenX Results

Thanks Kevin. Do you happen to have these data on excel (I guess I already know the answer to this question but I'm asking anyway)? Also for the previous data you sent me, what does PT means in some location ID? For instance,

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Rowsey, Kevin

Sent: Friday, March 8, 2019 11:53 AM

To: Mavi, Mayek < mavi.mayek@epa.gov>

Subject: GenX Results

Hi Mike, reading these results can be kind of confusing. We started off with 6 PWS (3 in OH and 3 in WV) and 10 private wells (5 in OH and 5 in WV), however we then added some more Ohio private wells in the second round of sampling because the HFPO-DA results were higher in Ohio, then for the third round of sampling we only had Chemours sample those systems/private wells that had detectable levels of HFPO-DA. The Washington Works PWS should be comprehensive with their biweekly sampling. Please let me know if any of this doesn't make any sense!

Kevin

Kevin Rowsey

Ground Water & Enforcement Branch Water Protection Division U.S. EPA Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Phone: 215.814.5463